

**Norman V. Siebrasse, Rafal Sikorski, Jeorge L. Contreras, Thomas F. Cotter, John Golden, Sang Jo Jong, Brian J. Love, and David O. Taylor, Injunctive Relief in Patent Remedies and Complex Products: Towards A Global Consensus, Cambridge University Press, July 2019, pp. 226-159**

Chapter 4 addresses the law and policy of injunctive relief, focusing primarily on permanent injunctions. Chapter 4 recommends that courts should not automatically issue injunctive relief in all cases in which a patentee prevails in a suit for infringement. Instead, courts should have and, in appropriate circumstances, exercise discretion to deny injunctive relief when the issuance of an injunction would otherwise generate costs or burdens for others that are disproportionate to the nature of the adjudged infringement and to the noncompensable harms the patentee would suffer in the absence of an injunction. Furthermore, courts should be afforded the flexibility and discretion to tailor injunctive relief in appropriate circumstances to avoid imposing unnecessary hardship on infringers or the general public.